ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M 126610
Date Received: 11/11/10
Date Extracted: 11/16/10
Date Analyzed: 11/17/10
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Project:
Lab ID:
Data File:
Instrument:

Client:

Alaskan Copper Works M126610, F&BI 011154

011154-01 x10 011154-01 x10.031

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 81 Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 199

 Nickel
 185

 Copper
 149

 Zinc
 16.9

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Date Received: Not Applicable Date Extracted: 11/16/10 Date Analyzed: 11/17/10

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Alaskan Copper Works Project: M126610, F&BI 011154 Lab ID: I0-661 mb Data File:

I0-661 mb.013 Instrument: ICPMS1

Operator: AP

% Recovery: 96

Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Analyte:

Chromium

Germanium

Internal Standard:

<1 <1 <1

Nickel Copper Zinc

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 11/19/10 Date Received: 11/11/10

Project: M126610, F&BI 011154

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 011192-01 (Matrix Spike)

| | | | | Percent | Percent | | |
|----------|------------|-------|--------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| | Reporting | Spike | Sample | Recovery | Recovery | Acceptance | RPD |
| Analyte | Units | Level | Result | MS | MSD | Criteria | (Limit 20) |
| Chromium | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 4.27 | 108 b | 113 b | 67-132 | 5 b |
| Nickel | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 3.72 | 106 | 112 | 73-119 | 6 |
| Copper | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 16.3 | 102 b | 108 b | 50-144 | 6 b |
| Zinc | ug/L (ppb) | 50 | 207 | 86 b | 88 b | 46-148 | 2 b |

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

| | Percent | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|-------|----------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Reporting | Spike | Recovery | Acceptance | | | | |
| Analyte | Units | Level | LCS | Criteria | | | | |
| Chromium | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 105 | 66-135 | | | | |
| Nickel | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 108 | 67-134 | | | | |
| Copper | ug/L (ppb) | 20 | 107 | 66-134 | | | | |
| Zinc | ug/L (ppb) | 50 | 104 | 57-135 | | | | |

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${\bf J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${\it jl}$ The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

| Send Report To Sec. | | | 8A | MPLE CHA | | UST | OI | Ŷ | , | UE | | 11_ | //_ - | Pe | age # _ | +T2, or |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|--------|-------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----|-----------|----------------|----------------------|---------|---|
| Company Acaston Address 628 5. | PROJECT NAME/NO. PO # Standard | | | | | | | ROUND TIME 2 Weeks s authorized by: | | | | | | | | |
| City, State, ZIP Sept. Phone # 296-574-6 | | | | REMARKS | | | | | | | | (4) | ם ם ב | Disp Retu Will | ose ai | LE DISPOSAL iter 30 days mples ith instructions |
| | | - | T | r | | \vdash | | | \neg | T | T | 7 | UEST | TED | П | |
| Sample ID | LabID | Date | Time | Sample Type | # of containers | TPH-Diesel | TPH-Gasoline | BTEX by 8021B | VOCs by 8260 | SVOCs by \$270 | HFS | Chemen Co | | | | Notes |
| M R6610 | Ol | 11/11/10 | 1:30pm | AD | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | - | | | 4 | _ | \dashv | 4 | 1 | + | + | - | | | room to be a first |
| · | | 320 | | | | - | \dashv | + | + | + | + | + | + | \vdash | | |
| 1 | <u></u> | | | | | + | - | \dashv | + | + | | - - | ŀ | | | |

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West

Seattle, WA 98119-Ph. (206) 285-8282 Fax (206) 283-5044

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

| // SIGNATURE | PRINT NAME. | COMPANY | DATE | TIME |
|--------------------|--------------|---------|----------|--------|
| Relinquiated have | Genas Thomas | Acus | Mulio | 2:27pm |
| Received by Christ | Whan Phan | FRBI | rifupo | 2:23 |
| Received by: | | | <u> </u> | |

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

November 19, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 11, 2010 from the M126610, F&BI 011154 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1119R.DOC